

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff/Respondent,**

**v.**

**No. CV 14-0322 RB/LAM  
CR 13-1927 RB**

**GUSTAVO QUINTANA-RAMIREZ,**

**Defendant/Movant.**

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S PROPOSED FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION**

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Lourdes A. Martínez' *Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition* (Doc. 8) (hereinafter, "PF&RD"), filed on September 25, 2014. No party has filed objections to the proposed findings and recommended disposition and the deadline for filing objections has passed.<sup>1</sup> The Court has determined that it will adopt the *Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition* (Doc. 8), deny Defendant/Movant's § 2255 Motion [Doc. 1], and dismiss this case with prejudice.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the *Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition* (Doc. 8) are **ADOPTED** by the Court.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant/Movant's § 2255 Motion [Doc. 1] is **DENIED**.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that the PF&RD was returned to the Court with "Released Return to Sender" stamped on the envelope that was sent to the Court. [Doc. 10]. It thus appears that Defendant/Movant has failed to comply with the Court's local rule requiring all parties, including parties appearing *pro se*, to notify the Clerk in writing of any change in their mailing address. See D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.6.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** and that a final judgment be entered concurrently with this order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Brack", is positioned above a horizontal line.

---

**HONORABLE ROBERT C. BRACK**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**